

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**Donald E. Doneff, Jr.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No. 2:14-cv-313**

**Commissioner of Social Security,**

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Defendant.**

**ORDER**

On July 21, 2015, Magistrate Judge Deavers, to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and General Order No. 14-01, filed a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), ECF No. 13, concerning the disposition of Sharee S. Zand’s (“Plaintiff”) Complaint, ECF No. 3, in this Social Security case. The R&R recommended reversing the decision of the Social Security Administration and remanding the case to the Commissioner and the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) for further consideration of evidence, as the ALJ did not explain his conclusion in light of the fact that all of the opinions in the record are more restrictive than his own conclusion. R&R 16–17, ECF No. 13.


Judge Deavers notified the parties of their right to file objections to the R&R pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). *Id.* at 19–20. Judge Deavers specifically advised the parties that the failure to object to the R&R within fourteen days would result in a waiver of the

right to *de novo* review by the District Judge. *Id.* The deadline for filing such objections has passed, and no objections were filed.

Having received no objections, the R&R, ECF No. 13, is **ADOPTED**. The decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is **REVERSED**, and this matter is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner and ALJ for further consideration of the evidence.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter **FINAL JUDGMENT** pursuant to Sentence 4 of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**